

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,287	07/08/2003	Tadashi Mori	VX032538	7452	
21369	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
VARNDELL & VARNDELL, PLLC 106-A S. COLUMBUS ST.			ROSENBERG	ROSENBERG, LAURA B	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
	, · · ·			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	P
V	Office Action Summary	10/614,287	MORI ET AL.	,
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Laura B Rosenberg	3616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication aperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some period for reply will, by some period for reply will.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	• •	its is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` ,
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	e
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date 7-8-03.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/614,287

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 08 July 2003, in which claims 6 and 7 were amended and claims 10-17 were added, has been entered.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 10 July 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2002-201392 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-5, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

"the support pillar of an outer middle portion" should be a suport pillar of an outer middle portion (claim 2, line 3);

"the ceiling portion" should be --a ceiling portion-- (claim 2, line 3; claim 3, line 5; claim 4, line 3; claim 9, line 2);

"the support pillars in the rear portion" should be --support pillars in the rear portion-- (claim 2, line 4);

"both of the support pillars" should be --both support pillars-- (claim 3, line 3);

"the rear support pillar" should be --a rear support pillar-- (claim 3, line 4);

"the outer middle support pillar" should be --an outer middle support pillar-- (claim 3, lines 4-5);

"the rear surface" should be --a rear surface-- (claim 5, line 2);

"the reinforcing function" should be --a reinforcing function-- (claim 9, lines 2-3).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "pipe-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 1 is confusing because the claimed subject matter differs from that provided in the specification and in the drawings. Specifically, the connection member is "arranged in a support pillar" according to claim 1, but the connection member is only attached to a support pillar in the specification and the drawings. Clarification is required.

Regarding claims 6 and 10-13, the examiner cannot ascertain the subject matter being claimed.

Generally speaking, claims 1-17 are written in an extremely unclear manner. It is difficult to determine exactly where the connection member, support pillars, and other features are intended to be located based on the wording in the claims. The applicant

Art Unit: 3616

should reword the claims in order to more clearly set forth the subject matter being claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakyo (6,769,732). As best understood, Sakyo discloses:
- Driver's cab (#10) for a work vehicle (best seen in figure 1) structured by a pipe-like member (including frame members and connection member)
- Connection member (#30) for dispersing stress and attached to a support pillar (including #11a, 12a) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (as can be seen in figure 10)
- Connection member is arranged between right and left side surface portions (for example, horizontal and longitudinally extending surface portions of frame structures #11, 12) in a ceiling portion (best seen in figure 4)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,287 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

 Mounting bracket (#32, 132) formed in an approximately L-shape (best seen in figure 11)

- Connection member is a collared pipe-shaped member (best seen in figures 3-9, 11-15) and is provided with a bracket portion (including #32, 132, 232) attached to a frame member (best seen in figures 8, 11, 12, 15)
- Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"

The method forming is not germane to the issue of patentability. Thus, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

- 8. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 11, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hausmann (4,135,756). As best understood, Hausmann discloses:
- Driver's cab (including structure #S) for a work vehicle (#T) structured by a pipe-like member (including frame members and connection members)
- Connection member (#10, 14-16) for dispersing stress is arranged in a support pillar (#2, 3) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (column 2, lines 8-10)
- Connection member is arranged between a member (#8) connecting both support pillars (including #2, 3) and a rear surface upper transverse member (#6), and in a rear support pillar (#2, 3)
- Connection member arranged in a lower side of a rear surface portion (including #2,
 3; best seen in figure 2)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,287 Page 6

Art Unit: 3616

Mounting bracket (#12) formed in an approximately L-shape (best seen in figure 2)

- Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 12- 14, 16, and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson et al. (5,280,955). As best understood, Nelson et al. disclose:
- Driver's cab (#10) for a work vehicle (not shown) structured by a pipe-like member
 (including frame members and connection members)
- Connection member (including #24, 26) for dispersing a stress is arranged in a support pillar (including #18, 20, 22, 34) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (best seen in figure 3)
- Connection member arranged in front and rear portions (for example, #20, 22, 34)
 opposing to a support pillar of an outer middle portion (for example, #18) in a ceiling portion, and between support pillars in the rear portion (including #34)
- Connection member arranged between both right and left side surface portions
 (including #18) in a ceiling portion (best seen in figure 2)
- Connection member arranged in a lower side of a rear surface portion (including #18, 22, 34)
- Mounting bracket (including #52, 54)

Connection member (including #24) used in a ceiling portion is a collared pipe-shaped member (best seen in figure 2) and is provided with a bracket portion (including #52) that can be attached to a frame member (including #18, 20, 22, 34)

 Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"

The method forming is not germane to the issue of patentability. Thus, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duncan, O'Neill et al., Fryk et al., Mondragon Sarmiento et al., Johnson et al., Sorensen et al., and Sakyo et al. ('746) each disclose a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member and a connection member that can disperse stress when an external force is applied.

Taul discloses a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member and an L-shaped mounting bracket.

Geissler et al. and Kröning et al. each disclose a vehicle frame structured by a pipe-like member and a connection member that can disperse stress when an external force is applied.

Belleau et al. disclose a method of forming a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member.

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B Rosenberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beginning April 7, 2005, Laura B Rosenberg can be reached at the new USPTO location at (571) 272-6674, and Paul Dickson can be reached at (571) 272-6669.

> Patent Examiner Art Unit 3616

LBR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600